



Open access Journal

International Journal of Emerging Trends in Science and Technology
 IC Value: 76.89 (Index Copernicus) Impact Factor: 4.219 DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.18535/ijetst/v4i8.28>

Common fixed point theorem on b-metric space

Authors

R.Umamaheshwar Rao

Sreenidhi Insitute of Science and Technology, Yamnampet, Ghatkesar, Telangana, India-501 301.

Abstract: The purpose of this paper is to prove unique common fixed point theorem using weakly compatible mapping in a complete b-metric space.

Keywords: b-metric space, compatible of type (A), weakly compatible, fixed point.

AMS Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

Introduction

In 1989, the concept of b-metric space was initiated by I.A.Bakhtin [3]. Czerwik was presented a generalization of banach fixed point theorem in the b-metric spaces. The concept of weakly compatible mappings was introduced by G.Junck and B.E Rhoades [5] in metric space.

1.1 Definition: Let X be a nonempty set and $s \geq 1$ be a given real number. A function $d: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a b-metric if for all $x, y, z \in X$, the following conditions are satisfied.

- (i) $d(x, y) = 0$ iff $x = y$.
- (ii) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$
- (iii) $d(x, z) \leq s[d(x, y) + d(y, z)]$

The pair (X, d) is called a b-metric space.

It is clear that b-metric space is effectively larger than that of metric spaces. If we consider $s=1$ in the definition-1 then we obtain the definition of usual metric space. So our results are more general than the same results in usual metric space.

The following example shows that in general b-metric space need not necessarily be a metric space.

1.2 Example[7]: Let (X, d) be a metric space and $\rho(x, y) = (d(x, y))^p$ where $p > 1$ is a real number. Then

ρ is a b-metric with $s = 2^{p-1}$. Clearly the condition (i) and (ii) of definition 1.1 are satisfied. If $1 < p < \infty$, then convexity of the function $f(x) = x^p (x > 0)$ implies that $\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)^p \leq \frac{1}{2}(a^p + b^p)$ i.e.

$(a+b)^p \leq 2^{p-1}(a^p + b^p)$. Thus for each $x, y, z \in X$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(x, y) &= (d(x, y))^p \leq (d(x, z) + d(z, y))^p \\ &\leq 2^{p-1}((d(x, z))^p + (d(z, y))^p) \\ &= 2^{p-1}(d(x, z) + d(z, y))^p \end{aligned}$$

So the condition (iii) of definition 1.1 is satisfied. Hence ρ is a b-metric space. However, if (X, d) is a metric space, then (X, ρ) is not necessarily a metric space. For example if $X = \mathbb{R}$ (set of real numbers) and $d(x, y) = |x - y|$ is the usual metric, then $\rho(x, y) = (x - y)^2$ is a b-metric on \mathbb{R} with $s = 2$, but is not a metric on \mathbb{R} .

1.3 Definition: Two self maps S, T of a metric space (X, d) are said to be a compatible mappings of type-(A) if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(STx_n, TTx_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(TSx_n, SSx_n) = 0.$$

whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_{n+1} = t \text{ for some } t \in X$$

1.4 Definition: Let S and T be the two self maps defined on set X. Then S and T are said to be weakly compatible if they commute at every coincidence point.

1.5 Definition: Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in a b-metric space (X,d).

(i) $\{x_n\}$ is called b-convergent if and only if there is $x \in X$ such that $d(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

(ii) $\{x_n\}$ is called b-Cauchy sequence if and only if there is $x \in X$ such that $d(x_n, x_m) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$.

A b-metric space is said to be complete if and only if each b-Cauchy sequence in this space is b-convergent.

The following theorem was proved by P.Sanodia and etal.[1],

2.1 Theorem-A: Let (X,d) be a complete b-metric space with constant $s \geq 1$ and S and T are two self mappings such that

- (i) $T(X) \subseteq S(X)$
- (ii) One of S or T be continuous
- (iii) (S,T) is of compatible of type(A)
- (iv)

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq a \text{ Max} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(Tx, Sy), d(Sx, Sy), \\ d(Ty, Sy), d(Tx, Sx) \end{array} \right\} + b[d(Ty, Sx)]$$

where $a+2sb \leq 1, \forall x, y \in X$.

Then S and T have a unique common fixed point.

We are proving above theorem using the concept of weakly compatible mappings and without continuity.

2.2 Theorem-B: Let (X,d) be a complete b-metric space with constant $s \geq 1$ and S and T are two self mappings such that

- (i) $T(X) \subseteq S(X)$
- (ii) S(X) or T(X) be closed subspace of X.

(iii) The pair (S,T) is weakly compatible

$$(iv) \quad d(Tx, Ty) \leq a \cdot \text{Max} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(Tx, Sy), d(Sx, Sy), \\ d(Ty, Sy), d(Tx, Sx) \end{array} \right\} + b[d(Ty, Sx)]$$

where $a+2sb \leq 1, b > 0, a \in (0,1)$

$\forall x, y \in X$.

Then S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Proof: Let $x_0 \in X, T(X) \subseteq S(X)$ then there exist x_{n+1} and x_n in X such that $Tx_n = Sx_{n+1}, n=0,1,2,3, \dots$. Now put $x = x_n$ and $y = x_{n+1}$ in equation (iv) of Theorem –B, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) &\leq a \cdot \text{Max} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(Tx_n, Sx_{n+1}), d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1}), \\ d(Tx_{n+1}, Sx_{n+1}), d(Tx_n, Sx_n) \end{array} \right\} + b[d(Tx_{n+1}, Sx_n)] \\ &= a \cdot \text{Max} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(Tx_n, Sx_{n+1}), d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1}), \\ d(Tx_{n+1}, Sx_{n+1}), d(Tx_n, Sx_n) \end{array} \right\} + b[d(Tx_{n+1}, Sx_n)] \\ &= a \cdot \text{Max} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(Sx_{n+1}, Sx_{n+1}), d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1}), \\ d(Sx_{n+2}, Sx_{n+1}), d(Sx_{n+1}, Sx_n) \end{array} \right\} + b[d(Sx_{n+2}, Sx_n)] \\ &= a \cdot \text{Max} \{ 0, d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1}), d(Sx_{n+2}, Sx_{n+1}), 0 \} + b[d(Sx_{n+2}, Sx_n)] \\ &\leq a \cdot \text{Max} \{ d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1}), d(Sx_{n+2}, Sx_{n+1}) \} + bs[d(Sx_{n+2}, Sx_{n+1}) + d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1})] \end{aligned}$$

Case-(i): If $d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1}) > d(Sx_{n+2}, Sx_{n+1})$, then

$$\begin{aligned} d(Sx_{n+1}, Sx_{n+2}) &\leq a \cdot \text{Max} \{ d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1}) \} + bs[d(Sx_{n+2}, Sx_{n+1}) + d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1})] \\ (1-sb)d(Sx_{n+1}, Sx_{n+2}) &\leq (a+sb) \{ d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1}) \} \\ d(Sx_{n+1}, Sx_{n+2}) &\leq \left(\frac{a+sb}{1-sb} \right) d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d(Sx_{n+1}, Sx_{n+2}) &\leq k_1 d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1}) \\ \text{where } k_1 &= \left(\frac{a+sb}{1-sb} \right) < 1 \quad \text{---(2.2.1)} \end{aligned}$$

Case-(ii): Suppose, If $d(Sx_{n+1}, Sx_{n+2}) > d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1})$, then

$$d(Sx_{n+1}, Sx_{n+2}) \leq a \cdot \{d(Sx_{n+1}, Sx_{n+2})\} + bs[d(Sx_{n+2}, Sx_{n+1}) + d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1})]$$

$$(1 - a - sb)d(Sx_{n+1}, Sx_{n+2}) \leq sb d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1})$$

$$d(Sx_{n+1}, Sx_{n+2}) \leq \left(\frac{sb}{1 - a - sb} \right) d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1})$$

where $k_2 = \left(\frac{sb}{1 - a - sb} \right) < 1$

$$d(Sx_{n+1}, Sx_{n+2}) \leq k_1 d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1})$$

where $k_1 = \left(\frac{a + sb}{1 - sb} \right) < 1$ (2.2.2)

By the equations (2.2.1) and (2.2.2), Let $k = \max. \{k_1, k_2\}$, since $k_1 < 1$ and $k_2 < 1$ gives $k < 1$.

$$d(Sx_{n+1}, Sx_{n+2}) \leq k d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1})$$

$$d(Sx_{n+1}, Sx_{n+2}) \leq k^2 d(Sx_{n-1}, Sx_n)$$

$$d(Sx_{n+1}, Sx_{n+2}) \leq k^3 d(Sx_{n-2}, Sx_{n-1})$$

.....

$$d(Sx_{n+1}, Sx_{n+2}) \leq k^n d(Sx_0, Sx_1)$$

Now we show that $\{Sx_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence. Let $m, n > 0$, with $m > n$.

$$d(Sx_n, Sx_m) \leq s[d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1}) + d(Sx_{n+1}, Sx_m)]$$

$$\leq s d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1}) + s^2 d(Sx_{n+1}, Sx_{n+2}) + s^2 d(Sx_{n+2}, Sx_m)$$

$$\leq s d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1}) + s^2 d(Sx_{n+1}, Sx_{n+2}) + s^3 d(Sx_{n+2}, Sx_{n+3}) + \dots d(Tz, z) \leq (a + b)d(Tz, z)$$

$$\leq s k^n d(Sx_0, Sx_1) + s^2 k^{n+1} d(Sx_0, Sx_1) + s^3 k^{n+2} d(Sx_0, Sx_1) + \dots [1 - a - b] d(Tz, z) \leq 0$$

$$\leq s k^n d(Sx_0, Sx_1) \{1 + sk + (sk)^2 + (sk)^3 + \dots\}$$

$$\leq \frac{sk^n}{1 - sk} d(Sx_0, Sx_1)$$

When we take $m, n \rightarrow \infty$, we get $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(Sx_n, Sx_m) = 0$.

Hence $\{Sx_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence.

(X,d) is a complete metric space then sequence $\{Sx_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges to some z in X . From the

condition (i) of Theorem 2.2, we get subsequences Tx_n, Sx_{n+1} converges to $z \in X$.

Since either $S(X)$ or $T(X)$ is closed for definiteness consider $S(X)$ is closed subspace of X . Then there exist for some $u \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_{n+1} = S(u) = z$.

Now to show $T(u)=z$, put $x=u$ and $y=x_n$ in condition (iv) of 2.2.

$$d(Tu, Tx_n) \leq a \text{Max} \left\{ \begin{matrix} d(Tu, Sx_n), d(Su, Sx_n), \\ d(Tx_n, Sx_n), d(Tu, Su) \end{matrix} \right\} + b [d(Tx_n, Su)]$$

$$d(Tu, z) \leq a \text{Max} \left\{ \begin{matrix} d(Tu, z), d(z, z), \\ d(z, z), d(Tu, z) \end{matrix} \right\} + b [d(z, z)]$$

$$d(Tu, z) \leq a d(Tu, z)$$

$$(1 - a) d(Tu, z) \leq 0$$

This implies $Tu=z$, since $(1-a) > 0$.

Therefore $Su=Tu=z$.

Since the pair (S,T) is weakly compatible, $STu=TSu$ this implies $Sz=Tz$.

To show $Tz=z$, put $x=z$ and $y=u$ in the condition (iv) of theorem 2.2, we get

$$d(Tz, Tu) \leq a \text{Max} \left\{ \begin{matrix} d(Tz, Su), d(Sz, Su), \\ d(Tu, Su), d(Tz, Sz) \end{matrix} \right\} + b [d(Tu, Sz)]$$

$$d(Tz, z) \leq a \text{Max} \left\{ \begin{matrix} d(Tz, z), d(Tz, z), \\ d(z, z), d(Tz, Tz) \end{matrix} \right\} + b [d(z, Tz)]$$

$$d(Tz, z) \leq (a + b)d(Tz, z)$$

$$[1 - a - b] d(Tz, z) \leq 0$$

This implies $Tz=z$, since $[1-a-b] > 0$.

Hence $Sz=Tz=z$. Thus z is common fixed point of self maps S and T .

Uniqueness:

Let w be another fixed point of S and T , then $Sw=Tw=w$.

Put $x=z$ and $y=w$ in the condition (iv) of theorem 2.2, we get

$$d(Tz, Tw) \leq a \text{Max} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(Tz, Sw), d(Sz, Sw), \\ d(Tw, Sw), d(Tw, Sw) \end{array} \right\} + b[d(Tw, Sw)]$$

$$d(z, w) \leq a \text{Max} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(z, w), d(z, w), \\ d(w, w), d(w, w) \end{array} \right\} + b[d(w, w)]$$

$$d(z, w) \leq a \cdot d(z, w)$$

$$(1-a) d(z, w) \leq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow z = w$$

This
show
s z is
uniq
ue
com
mon
fixed
point
of S
and

T.

References:

1. P.L Sanodia, K.Qureshi, Swathi Agarwal, Jyoti Neema, "Common fixed point theorem in b-metric space of compatible mapping of type (A), IJMTT, 35(1), 2016, P 15-18.
2. Hassen Aydi et.al, 'A fixed point theorem for set valued quasi contractions in b-metric spaces, Fixed point theory and its applications, 2012, 2012:88.
3. I.A.Bakhtin, The contraction mapping principle in almost metric spaces, Func.anal., 30, 1989, P.26-37.
4. G.Junck, "Compatible mappings and common fixed points, Int.J.math.math.sci. 9, 1986, P 771-779.
5. G.Junck and B.E.Rhoades, "Fixed point for set valued function without continuity", Indian.J.Pure Appl. Math., 29(3), 1998, P.227-238.
6. G.Junck, P.P.Murthy & Y.J.Cho "compatible mappings of type(A) and common fixed points" Math.Japonica 38, 1993, P.381-390.
7. J.R.Roshan et.al, "Common fixed point of four maps in b-metric spaces", Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, Vol.43 (4), 2014, P. 613-624.
8. V.Srinivas and Umamaheshwar Rao.R, "A comment on Djoudi's Fixed point theorem" IJCA, Vol.69 (10), 2013, P.29-31.